



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A.,

Plaintiff,

vs.

JOSE FUNES; DOES 1 to 10
inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. CV13-8610-UA (DUTY)

ORDER SUMMARILY REMANDING
IMPROPERLY-REMOVED ACTION

The Court will remand this unlawful detainer action to state court summarily because it has been removed improperly.

On November 21, 2013, defendant Jose Funes, having been sued in what appears to be a routine unlawful detainer action in California state court, lodged a Notice of Removal of that action to this Court. Defendant also presented an application to proceed *in forma pauperis*. The Court has denied the application under separate cover because the action was not properly removed. To prevent the action from remaining in jurisdictional limbo, the Court issues this Order to remand the action to state court.

Simply stated, plaintiff could not have brought this action in federal court in the first place, in that defendant does not competently allege facts supplying either

1 diversity or federal-question jurisdiction, and therefore removal is improper. 28
2 U.S.C. §1441(a); see Exxon Mobil Corp v. Allapattah Svcs., Inc., 545 U.S. 546, 563,
3 125 S. Ct. 2611, 162 L. Ed. 2d 502 (2005).

4 Nor does plaintiff's unlawful detainer action raise any federal legal question.
5 See 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1441(b).

6 Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that (1) this matter be REMANDED to the
7 Superior Court of California, Los Angeles County, 415 West Ocean Blvd., Long
8 Beach, CA 90802, for lack of subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C.
9 § 1447(c); (2) that the Clerk send a certified copy of this Order to the state court; and
10 (3) that the Clerk serve copies of this Order on the parties.

11 IT IS SO ORDERED.

12
13
14 DATED: 12/11/13



GEORGE H. KING
CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

15
16 Presented by:

17 
18 _____
19 David T. Bristow
20 United States Magistrate Judge
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28